

List of Figures | ix

List of Tables | xiii

Preface | xv

1. Framing Complexity

Lisa DeLance | 3

2. Heterarchy and the Emergence of Social Complexity in Early Formative Period Coastal Oaxaca, Mexico

Guy David Hepp | 19

3. Pottery and Society during the Preclassic Period at Yaxuná, Yucatán

*Travis W. Stanton, Sara Dzul Góngora, Ryan H. Collins, and
Rodrigo Martín Morales* | 55

4. The Emergence of Complex Imagery on Late Terminal Formative Gray Ware Pottery from Coastal Oaxaca, Mexico

Jeffrey S. Brzezinski, Sarah B. Barber, and Arthur A. Joyce | 86

5. The Beginnings of Complexity in the Central Petén Lakes Area
Prudence M. Rice and Katherine E. South | 117
6. Shifting Ceramic Styles in the Formative Period Basin of Mexico
Wesley D. Stoner and Deborah L. Nichols | 144
7. Urban Organization and Origins of Complexity in the Puuc Region of Northern Yucatán
Michael P. Smyth | 170
8. The Role of Monumental Architecture and Landscape Modification in the Development of Complexity at Early Xunantunich, Belize
Zoë J. Rawski and M. Kathryn Brown | 201
9. The Complexity of Figurines: Ancestor Veneration at Cahal Pech, Cayo, Belize
Lisa DeLance and Jaime J. Awe | 226
10. From Shell Beads to Symbolic Royal Bodies: A Diachronic Comparison of Body Ornamentation Production, Consumption, and Social Complexity at Prehispanic Pacbitun, Belize
Jon Spenard, George J. Micheletti, Kaitlin Crow, Terry G. Powis, and Teresa Tremblay Wagner | 251
11. Why the Mesoamerican Formative Period Matters: Vantages on Human Aggregation and Cooperation
Gary M. Feinman | 280
- Index* | 307
- About the Authors* | 313

- 1.1. Comparative regional chronology for Preclassic/Formative Mesoamerica | 6
- 1.2. Map of Mesoamerica showing sites mentioned in this volume | 13
- 2.1. Map of Mesoamerica with key early sites mentioned in the text | 24
- 2.2. Female anthropomorphs from La Consentida | 25
- 2.3. Standing anthropomorphs | 26
- 2.4. Anthropomorphs with indications of clothing | 27
- 2.5. Feminine figurines emphasizing hairstyles | 28
- 2.6. Anthropomorphic heads | 28
- 2.7. Figurines with diverse attire | 29
- 2.8. Examples of diverse head accoutrements among figurines | 30
- 2.9. Unique figural artifacts from La Consentida | 31
- 2.10. Examples of elementary anthropomorphs | 31

- 2.11. Figural artifacts suggesting specialized social roles | 32
- 2.12. Evidence of adornment and clothing | 33
- 2.13. Plan map and detailed close-up images of a ritual cache near human burials | 34
- 2.14. Examples of La Consentida's burial offerings | 37
- 2.15. Examples of utilitarian and decorated pottery from La Consentida | 39
- 2.16. Excavation profile showing layers of earthen architectural construction at Op. LC12 A | 41
- 3.1. Lidar image of the E Group and Central Acropolis of Yaxuná showing the area of excavations | 59
- 3.2. Stratigraphy of the central portion of the E Group plaza | 61
- 3.3a. Photo of Laapal Complex ceramics | 71
- 3.3b. Profiles of Laapal Complex ceramics | 73
- 3.4. Profiles of Hok'ol Complex ceramics | 75
- 3.5. Profiles of Ka'nal Complex ceramics | 75
- 4.1. Map of the lower Río Verde Valley with sites mentioned in the text | 91
- 4.2. Lower Verde trefoil Types 1–3; Depiction of the life cycle of maize on Zapotec effigy vessel of Cociyo | 94
- 4.3. Conical bowl from Río Viejo with Lazy-S motif; Monument 31, Chalcatzingo, Mexico; Incurving wall bowl from Río Viejo with half Lazy-S motif and rain drops | 97
- 4.4. The U-shaped motif carved into the everted rim of a Chacahua phase semispherical bowl from Barra Quebrada; Icon depicting a cloud and rainfall from Chalcatzingo Monument 1 | 98
- 4.5. Spiral motif carved on incurving-wall gray ware bowls from Río Viejo and Yügüe | 99
- 4.6. Carved *xicalcolihqui* motif from side wall of antechamber to Tomb 1, Zaachila, Oaxaca; Small gray ware "plate" from Yügüe with architectural motif on interior; Gray ware conical bowl Río Viejo with stepped-fret motifs on the exterior panel | 102
- 4.7. Death and sacrifice imagery from the lower Verde sample | 104
- 5.1. The central Petén lakes area, showing Rice survey transects and sites mentioned in text | 118
- 6.1. Important sites and sites mentioned in the text | 145
- 6.2. Ayotla phase ceramics mentioned in the text | 151
- 6.3. Manantial and Tetelpán phase ceramics mentioned in the text | 155

- 6.4. Zacatenco phase ceramics mentioned in the text | 157
- 6.5. Ticomán phase ceramics mentioned in the text | 160
- 7.1. Grid map of Xcoch | 173
- 7.2. Plan map of the Great Pyramid and Grand Platform | 176
- 7.3. Map of regional settlement hierarchy for the central Santa Elena Valley, Puuc Ridge, and modern towns highlighting Xcoch and surrounding Preclassic outliers | 179
- 7.4. Photo of the north face of the Great Pyramid during clearing | 181
- 7.5. Photo looking northwest of a stratigraphic profile cut (Op. 7) | 183
- 7.6. Photo looking west toward the Cave Pyramid showing the Preclassic megalithic stonework of a staged eastern staircase and boulder stones covering the structure | 185
- 7.7. Photo looking west of the descending megalithic staircase in the chasm leading to the Xcoch Cave entrance | 188
- 7.8. Photo of two Late Preclassic vessels found at the pyramid platform near N5500 E5200 | 189
- 7.9. South Aguada Group photo of Op. 61 | 191
- 8.1. Map showing location of Early Xunantunich in Belize | 205
- 8.2. Lidar and malerized features map of Early Xunantunich | 205
- 8.3. Structure E-2–2nd with bedrock structure to the east | 207
- 8.4. Structure E-2 with western pyramid and ramped plaza surface | 207
- 8.5. Location of altar/*mesa* feature | 210
- 8.6. Small pillow stones from staircase of Structure F1–2nd | 213
- 8.7. Greenstone effigies from the Structure F1 cache | 217
- 9.1. Map of the Cahal Pech site core | 233
- 9.2. Map of Cahal Pech site core and periphery settlements | 235
- 9.3. Formative period anthropomorphic figurines, zoomorphic ocarina-bird head within a bird mouth, zoomorphic dog figurine, supernatural monkey figurine | 239
- 9.4. Cahal Pech Burial B4-3 | 240
- 9.5. Vertically bisected figurine torso; Figurine head with crosshatched gouges | 241
- 10.1. Map of the Belize River Valley | 253
- 10.2. Composite lidar and plan view map of Pacbitun and periphery | 254
- 10.3. Map of Pacbitun's Core Zone | 255

- 10.4. Representative samples of shell beads, detritus, and chert microdrills from Plaza B excavations | 259
- 10.5. Map of Middle Preclassic period structures beneath Pacbitun Plaza B | 259
- 10.6. Reconstruction of the El Quemado structure, Pacbitun Plaza A | 262
- 10.7. Photograph and drawing of *Spondylus* sp. pendant from Tzul phase, crypt, Burial 1-6 | 265
- 10.8. Plan view sketch map of Actun Lak | 267
- 10.9. Representative sample of the jade, limestone, and soda straw beads from the altar in Actun Lak | 268
- 10.10. Speleothem altar, Actun Lak, chamber 2 | 268

- 3.1. Calibrated radiocarbon dating results | 62
- 4.1. Frequency of main icons in the Chacahua phase sample | 93
- 5.1. Early ceramic complexes in the central Petén lakes zone and related areas | 121
- 5.2. E Groups and possible E Groups in the central Petén lake basins | 123
- 7.1. Time periods and chronologies related to ceramics and architectural styles and their characteristics from the Puuc region and the site of Xcoch | 172
- 7.2. Preclassic and Early Classic radiocarbon dates from Xcoch, Yucatán | 177
- 9.1. Formative period chronology of Cahal Pech | 232
- 9.2. Cahal Pech Formative period figurine distribution | 241
- 10.1. Pacbitun chronology and ceramic sequence | 256

Copyrighted material
Not for distribution

This book began as a session for the 82nd Annual Meeting of the Society for American Anthropology designed to explore how crafting in Formative Mesoamerican communities illustrated differing processes of complexity. The idea of complexity as a process originated in discussions with the first editor's (Lisa DeLance) doctoral advisor, Dr. Wendy Ashmore, to whom this volume is dedicated. These discussions, often spontaneous intellectual exercises, were nearly always framed around deconstructing and probing the assumptions that have driven archaeological interpretation for the past century. These highly meaningful moments shaped Lisa's graduate school experience and fundamentally frame her approach to archaeological knowledge.

During one discussion, shortly before Wendy fell ill in 2017, I (Lisa) asked her one of the most complex questions yet to be answered: *How did we get here?* I was pondering the practical experience of a social group moving from an egalitarian to a highly stratified form of social organization. From that frankly absurdly abstract question came a series of discussions focused on the lived experience of social change in the present. How are certain types of leadership and certain

qualities of leaders normalized, indeed idealized? How do cultural norms, standards, and values develop and change over time, such that they are experienced as natural? What is the impetus for change? How is it felt and negotiated at the micro-level? They are, indeed, complex questions.

Complexity has long fascinated archaeologists. Whether overtly explored or latently present in the interpretation of the past, issues of social complexity (the when, the why, the how) permeate our explorations of the human past. Complexity research, at its core, attempts to understand *how* and *under what circumstances* the similarities and divisions impacting our daily lived experience originated.

This is both a question that the larger public is grappling with in an increasingly polarized and uncertain time and a question that archaeologists have long attempted to answer. From the outset, this volume sought to explore complexity through a comparative lens and took a pan-Mesoamerican approach to facilitate the comparison of the emergence of unique social conditions and the circumstances in which they were experienced. We felt it important to bridge the scholarly gap in Mesoamerican research that tends to explore individual cultural groups as isolates rather than part of a contiguous system of interaction. The incorporation of data from Oaxaca, Central Mexico, the Yucatán, the Petén, and the eastern Maya Lowlands allows scholars to compare historical sequences of complexity and the establishment of group identity on a diverse scale throughout Mesoamerica.

Models of complexity in Mesoamerica are variable. Not only is there lack of consensus as to what specific social features illustrate complexity, there is also a general trend toward exploring complexity in the context of the “grandiose” rather than the “mundane.” Models, by necessity, require researchers to employ typological classifications of specific social features and practically function as a set of “diagnostic criteria” for determining whether a society is complex. While the use of models of complexity is important in the generalizability of theories about complexity, they simultaneously disregard aspects of social differentiation that impact inter and intra-group relationships and the identities that develop from them.

This volume is guided by three fundamental questions: (1) How and when did social aggregations become more complex? What are the processes involved? In what way were they complex and how does that differ from other regions of Mesoamerica; (2) How and when did particular ethnic identities and affiliations emerge in the context of cultural affiliations; and (3) What are key aspects of regional and macroregional variability. Volume contributors address these questions as they apply to the development of complexity in Western and Central Mexico, the Yucatán, and the Maya Lowlands. The authors in this volume do not employ linear or uniform models in their analysis. Complexity in each case

is explored as a process at a community level through the lens of evidence-based correlates to complex behavior in a local, regional, and supra-regional scales. We hope that as an example of the intellectual legacy of Wendy Ashmore, these chapters will elicit further exploration of novel approaches to long-standing archaeological questions.

This volume could not have been completed without the valued support and assistance of our editor, Darrin Pratt. Darrin and his team were welcoming, efficient, and insightful at all stages of this book's production, and we are grateful. The editors and the authors also owe a debt of gratitude to the local peoples who allowed us to investigate Mesoamerica's deep past on their lands and to the field and lab crews who assisted us in carrying out the research that we draw on here.